PRESENT—*cont.*

Subrahmanya Bhatt, Mr. U. C.
Syed Tajuddin Sahib Bahadur, Khan Sahib.
Thangal, Mr. P. M.
Thomas, Mr. Daniel.
Thorne, C.I.E., I.C.S., Mr. J. A.
Vasudeva Pillai, Mr. V. G.
Vedachala Mudaliyar, Mr. M.
Venkatarama Ayyar, Mr. K. R.
Venugopal Nayudu, Rao Bahadur R. K.
Viswanatha Rao, Diwan Bahadur V. N.
Yahya Ali Sahib Bahadur, Khan Bahadur.
Yakub Hasan Sahib Bahadur.
Zamindar of Kirlampudi, The.

I.—QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

STARRED QUESTIONS

Fisheries

Employment of pupil-teachers trained at the Fisheries Training Institute, Calicut.

* 770 *Q.*—Mr. A. B. Shetty: Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Excise be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of pupil-teachers now under training at the Fisheries Training Institute at Calicut;

(*b*) the amount spent per year in running this institute; and

(*c*) the number of persons who have found employment among those who were sent out of this institute after training in 1932 and 1933?

A.—(*a*) 12.

(*b*) About Rs. 16,500.

(*c*) 26.

Mr. A. B. Shetty:—" May I know, Sir, whether among the persons trained and sent out of this institute, there is a considerable number of people who are so far unemployed? "

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. Kumaraswami Reddiyar:—" Apparently a large percentage of them are employed."

Khan Bahadur Mahmud Schamnad Sahib Bahadur:—" May I know the number of people who were trained in the institute in the years 1932 and 1933? "

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. Kumaraswami Reddiyar:—" A little over forty, and out of them 26 are employed."

Mr. V. M. Ramaswami Mudaliyar:—" May I know whether besides training people for the Fisheries department, the institute serves any other purpose? "

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. Kumaraswami Reddiyar:—" The institute is for training purposes."

Mr. V. M. Ramaswami Mudaliyar:—" May I know whether the Hon. the Minister is satisfied that the expenditure on the institute is commensurate with the number of people trained? "

12th March 1934]

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR :—" The number happens to be very low this year owing to various causes, but the number usually is much higher than this."

Khan Bahadur MAHMUD SCHAMNAD SAHIB Bahadur :—" What is the special thing in this institute which we cannot have in the other training schools? "

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR :—" It has got a curriculum which is suitable for the fisher-folk."

Mr. A. B. SHETTY :—" May I know whether the Government have any time examined the necessity for continuing this institute? "

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR :—" Oh, yes, more than once; and I have also answered my hon. Friend more than once."

Diwan Bahadur C. S. RATNASABHAPATI MUDALIYAR :—" May I know how long this institute has been in existence and how many students have been trained all these years? "

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR :—" Notice."

Khan Bahadur MAHMUD SCHAMNAD SAHIB Bahadur :—" May I know whether making nets and boats is taught in this institute? "

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR :—" Notice."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR :—" May I know whether there are any other training institutes like this at Calicut? "

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR :—" Notice."

Mr. ABDUL HAMEED KHAN :—" May I know whether the Government have any information as to what the candidates that have gone out of this institute are now doing? "

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR :—" Most of them have been employed as teachers. That is what I said. Some still await being appointed as teachers."

Mr. A. B. SHETTY :—" May I know whether the Government have any proposal of starting another institute on the East Coast? "

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR :—" Notice Sir; so far, I do not know of any such proposal."

Diwan Bahadur C. S. RATNASABHAPATI MUDALIYAR :—" May I know whether the Hon. the Minister has addressed the Director of Fisheries with a view to the abolition of this institute? "

[12th March 1934

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR:—" As I said, the matter was more than once considered by the Government and they came to the decision that the institute should be continued."

Diwan Bahadur C. S. RATNASABHAPATI MUDALIYAR:—" What was the recommendation of the Retrenchment Committee, may I know?"

B. POCKER SAHIB Bahadur:—" Is it not a fact that no representations have been made to the Government that the institute does not serve any useful purpose?"

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR:—" I do not think there has been any such representation."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—" Have the Government considered the question whether the opening of such institutes elsewhere will in any way affect the present number of pupils undergoing training in this institute?"

The Hon. Diwan Bahadur S. KUMARASWAMI REDDIYAR:—" I am sorry I can't say."

Local Self-Government

Policy governing the grant of contributions by local boards and municipalities to unrecognized educational institutions.

* 771 *Q.*—Mr. SAMI VENKATACHALAM CHETTI: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the local boards and municipalities are allowed to make contributions to unrecognized educational institutions; if so, under what conditions;

(*b*) who the authorities empowered to approve such contributions are;

(*c*) whether such contributions were disallowed last year and this year to any of the unrecognized institutions; if so, for what reasons; and

(*d*) whether there is any general policy to guide the approving authorities?

A.—(*a*) Yes, but subject to the previous approval of the Government in each case.

(*b*) The Local Government.

(*c*) & (*d*) The main points taken into consideration in deciding whether a contribution to a particular institution should be sanctioned or not are the nature of the management and of the accommodation, equipment and staff provided, the strength of the institution and the extent of its usefulness.